REMARKS

Reconsideration And Allowance Are Respectfully Requested.

Claims 1-11 are currently pending. Claims 1 and 5 have been amended. Claims 4 and 8 have been cancelled. New claim 11 has been added. No new matter has been added. The present amendment is believed to neither raise new issues nor require undue consideration. As such, Applicant respectfully requests that the amendment be entered and considered.

In response to the outstanding final Office Action, Applicant has addressed the refusal under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,971,387 (Mantell) along with the documents uncovered by the Japanese Patent Office included in the accompanying Information Disclosure Statement. Applicant has cancelled claim 8 and incorporated the removability feature for the non-compressible passive pressure points which are selectively removable and attachable to an under surface of the mask body to coincide with acupressure points of a person's face into independent claim 1. Each of the plurality of non-compressible passive pressure points are spaced between, below or between and below the first and second eye apertures and each having a hemispherical or curved outer surface to contact the person's face. Such language is fully supported by the specification and was initially in claim 4 which has now been cancelled. Applicant's plurality of non-compressible passage pressure points are individually selectively attachable or removable to the underside of the mask body. The

pressure points are selectively placed to coincide with acupressure points of an individual's face.

Mantell discloses an electro-therapeutic face mask; the mask includes contact buttons 24 which

are attachable to the face mask as illustrated in Figures 7 and 8 of the cited document. It is clear

from these figures and the accompanying portion of the specification at column 2, lines 25-33

that each button is provided with a rivet forming stem 25 and that the contact buttons are

secured to be braided flexible conductive strips 26 by "spreading the extremities of the stems

25". Such attachment is clearly a permanent connection. Additionally, it is clear from the

cross section illustrated in Figure 8 and the accompanying portion of the specification at

column 1, lines 56-57 that the laminations 2 and 3 are stitched together about the rear of the

contact button. This prevents access to the rear of the button and therefore the contact

buttons are not removable from the mask as they have two permanent forms of connection.

Further, detachment of the buttons would render the device inoperable. The permanent

connection with the mask therefore permanently fixes the position of the buttons in that

relocation is neither contemplated nor required.

This is distinguished from the selectively removable and attachable non-compressible

pressure points claimed in the present application. The selectively relocatable nature of the

pressure points of the present invention allows a user to reposition the pressure points to suit

their particular facial structure or treat different ailments. Mantell does not have relocatable

7

Amendment dated November 29, 2010

Reply to Office Action of July 30, 2010

buttons and therefore cannot offer the same functionality or advantages of the claimed

invention.

Additionally, Applicant has amended claim 5 to clarify that there are groups of open

apertures placed at specific locations on the therapeutic facial mask to correspond with the

acupressure points of an individual's face. Such concept is not taught nor suggested by the art

of record or the documents uncovered by the Japanese Patent Office. In the Office Action, it

is contended that Mantell includes apertures into which the stems are inserted. In fact, such

openings are covered by the stems themselves and therefore there exists no openings to allow

for the passage of air to coincide with specific treatments contemplated by the mask of the

current invention. Additionally, these alleged openings are covered or stitched over prior to

completion of the device in the Mantell document.

Lastly, Applicant has submitted an additional claim 11 that is fully supported by the

specification and drawings.

The undersigned encourages the Examiner to approve the claims as presently presented.

If it is felt that an interview would expedite prosecution of this application, please do not

hesitate to contact Applicant's representative at the below number.

8

Application No. 10/580,820 Amendment dated November 29, 2010 Reply to Office Action of July 30, 2010

It is believed that this case is now in condition for allowance and reconsideration thereof and early issuance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Stewart L. Gitler

Registration No. 31,256

Welsh Flaxman & Gitler LLC 2000 Duke Street, Suite 100 Alexandria, VA 22314 703.920.1122